


Table I. Some ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR Parameters of $9,10,11,1,15$, and 6 at 100 MHz in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ( $\tau$ Values; Internal Standard TMS) ${ }^{a}$

| Compd | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 8.83 | 2.07 | 2.31 | 7.29 |
| 10 | 9.24 | 1.88 | 2.22 | 7.28 |
| 11 | 8.56 | $\leftarrow 2.06-2.34 \rightarrow$ | 7.26 |  |
| 1 | 6.18 | 2.13 | 2.69 | 7.52 |
| 15 | 5.01 | 2.56 | 2.92 | 7.64 |
| 6 | 3.48 | 3.69 | 3.28 | 7.94 |

$a^{\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}}(\mathrm{d}, J=15-16 \mathrm{~Hz}), \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}(\mathrm{dd}, J=7.5-10,15-16 \mathrm{~Hz}), \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{d}$, $J=7.5-10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (s), for all compounds.
$(16,800), 292(21,100), 386(45,400), 552 \mathrm{sh}(1050), 607 \mathrm{sh}$ nm (580); $\nu_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{KBr}) 2130 \mathrm{~m}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}), 970 \mathrm{~s}($ trans $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C})$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. Substance 1 was relatively stable, both in the solid state and in ether solution.

It has been shown previously that the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of certain 1,3-bisdehydro[14]annulenes are temperature dependent, due to rotation about the trans double bonds, ${ }^{19}$ and this proved to be the case with the diacetate 7 . On the other hand, the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the dehydroannulenes $9,10,11$, and 1 were essentially temperature independent in the range -60 to $100^{\circ}$, and showed the macrocyclic rings to exist in the indicated conformations.

Some ${ }^{1} H$ NMR parameters of various 1,3-bisdehydro[14]annulenes are given in Table I. As expected, the substituted compounds $\mathbf{9 , 1 0}$, and 11 are diatropic ("aromatic"), the inner $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{A}}$ protons resonating at unusually high field, and the outer $\mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{B}}, \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{C}}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons at unusually low field. It has already been found that the diatropicity of a 1,3 -bisdehydro[14]annulene is considerably reduced by fusion of a benzene ring (see 15 in Table I), ${ }^{20}$ and almost completely eliminated by fusion of a [c]furan ring (see 6 in Table I). ${ }^{5}$ It is evident from the NMR spectrum of 1 that fusion of a second bisdehydro[14]annulene also reduces the diamagnetic ring current of the bisdehydro[14]annulene, although to a lesser extent than benzene. The decreasing order of dia-
tropicity of the macrocyclic ring of the various compounds in Table $\mathrm{I}(\mathbf{9}, 10,11>1>15>6)$ is presumably a reflection of a decrease in the importance of different participating Kekulé structures of that ring.
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## Cycloadditions of Alkenylidenecyclopropanes with Acetylenic Dienophiles. An Exclusive Formation of the $(2+2)$ Cycloadduct

## Sir:

Alkenylidenecyclopropane (1) has been shown recently by Pasto and his coworkers to react with 4-phenyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione via a concerted $\left[\left(\pi^{2}+\pi_{\pi} 2+{ }_{\sigma} 2\right)+\pi_{\pi}\right.$ ] pathway, ${ }^{1}$ while with chlorosulfonylisocyanate (CSI) 1 reacts via a dipolar intermediate followed by cyclopropane ring opening and recyclization ${ }^{2}$ and with methylenemalondinitriles ${ }^{3}$ and dichlorodifluoroethylene ${ }^{4}$ in a $(2+2)$ fashion via a radical mechanism. In view of the above variety of


1
the mode of the cycloadditions of 1 we are intrigued by the behavior of 1 against acetylenic dienophiles,

The reaction of 2,2,3,3-tetramethylisobutenylidenecyclopropane (1a) ${ }^{5}$ with dimethyl acetylenedicarboxylate (2a) ( $1: 1.5$ molar ratio) in benzene at $90^{\circ}$ for 19 hr afforded two products, 3 a ( $\mathrm{mp} 73-74^{\circ}$ ) and $4\left(\mathrm{mp} \mathrm{46-50}^{\circ}\right.$ ) in 28 and $15 \%$ yields, respectively, after work-up on a silica gel column (benzene as eluent). Both products were $1: 1$ adducts on the basis of analysis and mass spectral data, ${ }^{6}$ In the NMR spectrum $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}, 60 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$ 3a revealed two allylic methyl proton signals at $\delta 1.89$ and 1.81 and four cyclopropyl methyl signals at $\delta 1.35(6 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.38(6 \mathrm{H})$ besides two ester methyl signals at $\delta 3.76$ and 3.69 , while 4 had no allylic methyl signals but saturated methyl signals at $\delta 1.34$ $(6 \mathrm{H})$ and $1.20(12 \mathrm{H})$ as well as two ester methyl signals ( $\delta$ $3.82,6 \mathrm{H}$ ), and hence, 3 a and 4 were assigned as a $(2+2)$ adduct at $\mathrm{C}_{1-4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4-5}$ positions of 1 a , respectively. Ir $(\mathrm{KBr})\left(1750,1720,1670\right.$, and $1595 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 3 a ; 1746, 1720,1698 , and $1612 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 4) and uv (MeOH) absorptions ( $\lambda_{\max } 289(\log \epsilon 4.19)$ for 3 a and $296 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 3.96)$ for 4) were compatible with the assigned structures. ${ }^{7,8}$

The reaction of 1 a with chlorocyanoacetylene ( $\mathbf{2 b}$ ) at $70^{\circ}$ for 12 hr in benzene yielded only one $(2+2)$ adduct, 3 b , $\mathrm{mp} 90.5-92^{\circ}$ in $33 \%$ yield, which had ir ( KBr ) absorptions at 2220,1675 , and $1585 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; uv ( MeOH ) absorption maximum at $278 \mathrm{~nm}(\log \epsilon 4.37) ;{ }^{9}$ and NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ signals at $\delta 2.10,1.87$, and 1.32 in a $3: 3: 12$ ratio, supporting the assigned structure.

On the other hand, unsymmetrically substituted 2-phenylisobutenylidenecyclopropane $1 \mathbf{b}$ reacted with 2 a at $100^{\circ}$ for 29 hr to afford one $(2+2)$ adduct, $\mathbf{5 a}$, as a viscid oil (39\%). ${ }^{10}$ In the NMR spectrum ${ }^{10}$ 5a revealed two methyl signals at $\delta 1.70$ and 0.67 . The appearance of one of the methyl signals at a higher field such as at $\delta 0.67$ indicated the synfacial location of the methyl group to a phenyl ring supporting the assigned structure.


The reaction of $\mathbf{1 b}$ with $\mathbf{2 b}\left(80^{\circ}, 20 \mathrm{hr}\right)$ gave two $1: 1$ adducts, 5b, mp 103-105 , and 6, mp 116-117 ${ }^{\circ}$ in 38 and $24 \%$ yields, whose structures were evidenced by their spectral data. ${ }^{11}$

In conclusion, the reactions of $\mathbf{1 a}, \mathbf{b}$ with $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{b}$ gave exclu-
sively $(2+2)$ adduct but no $\left[\left(\pi^{2}+\pi^{2}+{ }_{\sigma} 2\right)+\pi^{2}\right]$ cycloadduct. Since the examined acetylenic dienophiles have a linear molecular geometry, a simultaneous in-plane (with respect to the cyclopropanering) attack of the dienophile $\pi$ system on $C_{2}$ and on the in-plane $p$ orbital of $C_{4}$ may suffer from a considerable steric hindrance, and thus, $\left[\left(\pi^{2}+\pi^{2}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\sigma^{2}\right)+\pi^{2}\right]$ cycloaddition is prohibited, ${ }^{1}$ However, a perpendicular attack of the acetylenes with an orthogonal orientation (against the allene moiety of 1 ) on a perpendicular $p$ orbital at $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ or on an in-plane p orbital at $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ should be much less sterically hindered, allowing the formation of ( 2 +2 ) adduct, ${ }^{12}$ An antifacial (to the phenyl ring) attack on $\mathbf{1 b}$ by $\mathbf{2 a}$ or $\mathbf{2 b}$ is obviously favored and the fact that $\mathbf{2 a}$ gave only $\mathbf{5 a}$, while $\mathbf{2 b}$ afforded both syn- and antifacial adducts 5b and 6 indicates a more crowded transition state geometry of the synfacial attack of $\mathbf{2 a}$ than $\mathbf{2 b}$. All of the above results and the formation of two regioisomers $3 a$ and 4 from 1a and $2 \mathbf{a}$ indicate that the molecular geometry of attacking dienophiles is also a very important factor as well as the substituents for determining the reaction path of 1 regardless of the cycloaddition mechanism. ${ }^{13,14}$
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